

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/752,360	12/29/2000	Jun Akedo	F0285	8442
75	90 08/21/2003			
Martin A. Farber			EXAMINER	
Suite 473 866 United Nations Plaza			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
New York, NY 10017				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723 DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	a
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		/				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	L				
	09/752,360	AKEDO, JUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	M Rachuba	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this concome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status	IUU 2002						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 √ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 	is action is non-fina						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed			e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			y meme le				
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		NA 11 1 14 houth a Formation of					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>10 April 2001</u> is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	n priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
a) \(\) The translation of the foreign language pro 15)\(\) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO) her:					

Application/Control Number: 09/752,360

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 14-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: figure 1, 14. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not described how the inventive apparatus has only one of an attached particle removal apparatus, or a film surface

Application/Control Number: 09/752,360

Art Unit: 3723

processing apparatus, or a pressure apparatus, as limited in claim 10 "the apparatus comprising at least one of:".

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 limits "the method comprising one or more of a planarizing step...". It is not clear what is being claimed-how can there be more than one planarizing step?

Applicant has disclosed only one step of planarizing, but provides more than one apparatus, such as shown in figure 1, scrapper 8, roller 12.

Claim 5, the scope of the claim is not clear. What method step is being claimed? Isn't the method of claim 1 the same as that of the alternative of claim 5?

Claim 6, what are "ultra sounds"?

Claim 8 lists several alternative limitations inclusively. Is this meant to be a "Markush" claim? Also, what is meant by "a long time period"? One second? Infinity?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/752,360

Art Unit: 3723

8. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hattori 5,981,305. '305 discloses a planarized ultra fine particle film forming apparatus comprising a film surface processing apparatus for grinding or polishing the surface layer portion. See column 5, lines 41-52 and column 6, lines 30-40. Please note that there can be no polishing without relative movement between the processing apparatus and substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note similar methods and apparatus for manufacturing components with ultra fine particle films.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,360

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723



mtr August 18, 2003